This paper has been prepared on behalf of the NAHPF, which welcomes the opportunity to raise significant and specific patient concerns, seeking collaboratively, to ensure that vital prosthetic and orthotic specialised services may be secured, sustained and enhanced to meet increasing future patient needs adequately, effectively and with value for money.

This paper highlights concerns, provides background information, highlights on-going collaborative work and seeks to affect a lasting solution.

**Patient Concerns**

Patients benefiting from these specialised services are expressing concerns about significant shortfalls in existing and any future prosthetic and orthotic services whose importance is rightly indicated in Specialised Services National Definitions Set No. 5

Patient needs are increasing. For instance the number of patients needing orthotic interventions are expected to grow rapidly, and whilst the major cause of amputation is peripheral vascular disease, with diabetes mellitus being a significant factor in up to 50% of cases; diabetes is now expected to increase from 7.4% of England’s adult population in 2010 to 9.5% or 4.6 million people by 2030 an increase of 28.4%. The UK is experiencing an ageing of its population which will place increased demands on prosthetic and orthotic services. This whilst the numbers of Prosthetists and Orthotists continue to decline!

There is an exodus of the specialised skills and experience needed to enable patients of all ages with complex physical disabilities to remain mobile, dextrous and independent. If this trend is not reversed, there will continue to be otherwise avoidable falls, pressure sores, diabetic foot and limb losses, which will need additional health care treatment and increase health care costs. This will also impact and affect patient outcomes and independence.

These patient concerns are not new. They have been presented in papers on a number of occasions for instance the British Health Trades Association published in 2005 a Briefing Paper on Manpower Planning in Prosthetics & Orthotics. These and other manpower concerns have since 2007 continue to be raised at the All Party Limb Loss Group.
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The Workforce Review Team also published in 2007 its AHP Proforma Report for Prosthetics & Orthotics\textsuperscript{22} followed in 2008 with a Summary Report for Prosthetics & Orthotics\textsuperscript{23}. The Workforce Review Team then published in 2009 its Assessment of Workforce Priorities Report\textsuperscript{24}.

These reports highlight that Prosthetics and Orthotics services are “specialities and professions that need a nationally planned approach”\textsuperscript{25} in line with that suggested in a High Quality Workforce.\textsuperscript{26} The service providers themselves highlight that there are “existing shortfalls in both the quality and quantity of training”\textsuperscript{27} and this is supported insofar as the “WRT believes there are risks that standards of service for long term conditions will not be met and there are inequalities of access to services”\textsuperscript{28} and also that “attrition rates from training are high as are the number of leavers from the qualified workforce”\textsuperscript{29}.

**BACKGROUND INFORMATION**

These specialist services have continuously modernised\textsuperscript{29,30} and innovated\textsuperscript{30,31} in order to raise the quality of the equipment and of the service required by each individual patient. In an attempt to align services to patient needs and patient outcomes there have been significant changes in the way that these specialised services are now designed, manufactured and delivered.\textsuperscript{32,33}

Before the Denny Report 1970\textsuperscript{32} the prosthetist or orthotist was a profession where individuals were predominately trained from the ‘bench’ via an apprenticeship working usually for the service provider. However between the Denny Report\textsuperscript{32} and the McColl Report 1986\textsuperscript{33} in an attempt to improve the standards of service provision, the prosthetist or orthotist was generally employed by the service provider and trained through a combination of bench work and study in collaboration with Strathclyde University or Paddington College of Further Education, London. This study was towards a Diploma but this then changed to a HND from 1980\textsuperscript{34}. The British Health Trades Association\textsuperscript{35} believe that this resulted in low attrition rates and provided a locally based workforce focused on personalised and specialised service provision, which improved patient outcomes. However as specialised service provision continued to modernise post McColl\textsuperscript{33} so did the training requirement for these skilled clinicians, and this is provided today by 2 universities\textsuperscript{36}; one at Salford and the other at Strathclyde with support of placements to service providers in the students final year of study. There are now high attrition rates\textsuperscript{37,38} and difficulties in attracting staff to certain locations\textsuperscript{39}.

**ON-GOING COLLABORATIVE WORK**

There have been, and there continue to be, collaborative initiatives to address the foregoing concerns. The pace of their development has yet to match the speed of the solutions required. These include Foundation Degrees for Clinical Technologists and the enhancement of recruitment and training and employment opportunities for all the professions involved.

**GUIDANCE**

Further information is readily available. Discussion, advice, support and national guidance will be welcomed and are invited.
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